

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SECOND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT,
IN AND FOR GADSDEN COUNTY, FLORIDA

MARGARET HARRIS, as Personal
Representative of the Estate of
Richard Harris,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CASE NO.: 2014-337-CAA
(formerly 08-1231 CA 25)

R. J. REYNOLDS TOBACCO
COMPANY, *et al.*,

Defendant.

_____ /

VERDICT

1. Was Richard Harris addicted to cigarettes containing nicotine and, if so, was such addiction a legal cause of his stomach cancer?

Yes No

If your answer to Question 1 is No, proceed no further except to sign and date this verdict form and return it to the courtroom. If you answered Yes to Question 1, proceed to Question 2.

2. Was there negligence on the part of Richard Harris which was a legal cause of his stomach cancer or his abdominal aortic aneurysm?

Yes No

If you answered No to Question 2, skip Question 3 and proceed to Question 4.

If you answered Yes to Question 2, proceed to Question 3.

3. Please state the percentage of any fault that was a legal cause of Richard Harris's stomach cancer and abdominal aortic aneurysm that you charge to:

R.J. Reynolds	<u>70</u>
Richard Harris	<u>30</u>
Total	Must be 100%

4. Did Richard Harris reasonably rely to his detriment on any statement or information from R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company which concealed or omitted material information not otherwise known or available concerning the health effects and/or addictive nature of smoking cigarettes, and, if so, was such reliance a legal cause of Richard Harris's stomach cancer and/or abdominal aortic aneurysm?

Yes No

5. Did Richard Harris reasonably rely to his detriment on any statement or information made in furtherance of R.J. Reynolds's agreement with other tobacco companies or organizations to conceal or omit material information not otherwise known or available concerning the health effects and/or addictive nature of smoking cigarettes, and, if so, was such reliance a legal cause of Richard Harris's stomach cancer and/or abdominal aortic aneurysm?

Yes No

6. What is the total amount of damages sustained by Richard Harris from the date of his smoking-related injuries to the date of his death for Richard Harris's pain and suffering, disability, physical impairment, disfigurement, mental anguish, inconvenience, aggravation of a disease or physical defect, and loss of capacity for the enjoyment of life as a result of his stomach cancer and abdominal aortic aneurysm?

\$ 4 million

In determining the total amount of any damages, you should not make any reduction because of the fault you charge to Richard Harris. The Court will enter a judgment based on your verdict and will reduce the total amount of damages by the percentage of fault which you charge to Richard Harris.

However, if you find in Plaintiff's favor on Question 4 (Fraudulent Concealment) and/or Question 5 (Agreement to Fraudulently Conceal), there will be no such reduction in any damages you award.

Please proceed to Question 7.

7. Under the circumstances of this case, do you find by clear and convincing evidence that punitive damages are warranted against R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company?

Yes No

SO SAY WE ALL, this 26th day of February, 2019.



Foreperson's Signature

THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE SECOND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
IN AND FOR GADSDEN COUNTY, FLORIDA

MARGARET HARRIS, as Personal
Representative of the Estate of Richard
Harris,

Plaintiff,

v.

R.J. REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY,
et al.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 2014 CA 337 CAA

PHASE II VERDICT

What is the total amount of punitive damages, if any, which you find, by the greater weight of the evidence, should be assessed against R.J. Reynolds?

~~\$6.078~~ ⁰⁰ million

If you elect not to assess punitive damages, you should enter a zero (0) as the amount of punitive damages.

SO SAY WE ALL, this 26th of February, 2019,

St. Andrew Chamfr
Foreperson